

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-9 are pending in this application. As a result of claim additions, amendments and cancellations in this Response to Office Action, claims 1-9 will remain pending in this application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,566,480 (Ko, et al.). The Examiner states that the conflicting claims are not identical but are still not patentably distinct from each other because the elimination of the ethyl group in the Y moiety would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

The Examiner objected to claims 8 and 9 because they are dependent upon a rejected base claim (claim 1) but stated that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of claim 1.

In order to overcome the rejection of claims 1-7, Applicants herewith submit a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,566,480, which has a common assignee in Korea Kumho Petrochemical Corporation. Applicants now request that the Examiner withdraw his rejection of claims 1-7.


With respect to the objection to claims 8 and 9, Applicants hereby amend claim 8 to incorporate the limitations of claim 1 into claim 8. Claims 8 and 9 should now be allowable, as indicated by the Examiner.

### **Conclusion**

Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is requested. If, upon review, the Examiner is unable to issue an immediate Notice of Allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney in order to resolve any outstanding issues and advance the prosecution of the case.

An early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,  
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

By:   
Morey B. Wildes  
Reg. No. 36,968

Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC  
485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor  
New York, NY 10018  
(212) 736-1940